Exhibit Q

```
1
     14QMTERC
 1
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
     -----x
 2
 3
     IN RE: TERRORIST ATTACKS ON
 3
     SEPTEMBER 11, 2001
                                           03 MDL 1570 (GBD)(FM)
 4
 4
 5
 5
                                            April 26, 2011
 6
                                            11:25 a.m.
 6
 7
     Before:
 7
 8
                           HON. FRANK MAAS,
 8
 9
                                            Magistrate Judge
9
10
                              APPEARANCES
10
     ANDERSON KILL & OLICK PC
11
11
          Attorneys for O'Neill Plaintiffs and PEC
12
     BY: JERRY S. GOLDMAN
12
13 KRIENDLER & KREINDLER LLP
13
         Attorneys for Plaintiff Ashton
14 BY: JAMES P. KREINDLER
14
15 COZEN O'CONNOR
15
         Attorneys for Federal Insurance Plaintiffs
16
     BY: SEAN P. CARTER
16
         J. SCOTT TARBUTTON
17
17
   MOTLEY RICE
18
          Attorneys for Burnett Plaintiffs
18
   BY: BRIAN FRUTIG (by telephone)
19
19 FERBER CHAN ESSNER & COLLER
          Attorneys for Continental Casualty Plaintiffs
20
     BY: ROBERT M. KAPLAN (by telephone)
21
21
     BERNABEI & WACHTEL
22
          Attorneys for Al Haramain USA and the Defendants'
22
          Executive Committee
23 BY: ALAN R. KABAT
23
24
     CLIFFORD CHANCE
24
         Attorneys for Defendant Dubai Islamic Bank
25
     BY: STEVEN T. COTTREAU
25
                    SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

14QMTERC APPEARANCES (cont'd) McMAHON & ASSOCIATES Attorneys for Defendants IIRO, MWL and Wael Jelaidan BY: MARTIN McMAHON (by telephone) LAW FIRM OF OMAR T. MOHAMMEDI LLC Attorneys for Defendants WAMY and WAMY International BY: OMAR T. MOHAMMEDI -and-GOETZ & ECKLAND FREDERICK GOETZ (via telephone) STEVEN BARENTZEN (by telephone) Attorney for Defendant Jamal Barzinji SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

14QMTERC

2.2

2.3

compel in large measure.

And I know, Mr. McMahon, you said last time that you had postponed a trip to the kingdom essentially to learn what the rulings are. I do think this is the type of stage of the case where you or somebody on your behalf needs to sit down with the folks who are responding to these requests and hold their hand to a certain extent and describe in greater detail what needs to be searched for. It's somewhat inconsistent to say, we have developed this massive index and, yet, not produce documents that relate to the employment of some of these individuals, directives that they may have given or received and the like.

And I recognize that, as Mr. Carter pointed out, there is a significant disconnect between that which was requested and that which has been received. And I'm not so naive to think that perhaps it won't persist. I hope it doesn't. But if it does, I suppose that will lead to additional motion practice. And if the IIRO or any other defendant has failed to produce documents that manifestly are within its possession, custody, or control, that may lead to consequences that that particular defendant doesn't care for.

But I assume one stage, at least, between the receipt of whatever additional documents are produced and a further motion would be some depositions, including depositions of document custodians about the type of search that was or was SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300